

From: [Krijgsman, Robert](#)
To: [Stanford, Stacey](#)
Cc: [Thoman, Sarah E](#); [Broderdorp, Kurt](#)
Subject: Re: Mexican wolf questions
Date: Monday, August 7, 2023 4:33:02 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[20221122_MexicanWolfPermit_Final_BO.pdf](#)

Thanks for sharing this info Stacey. We're trying to address the same question for Gray wolves that may disperse outside of the Colorado (specifically into R2). We also wrote our opinion with the action area only in R6.

Kurt, for consideration is if can we add similar language into our 10(j) rule?

Thanks,
-Robert

From: Stanford, Stacey <stacey_stanford@fws.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 7, 2023 3:03 PM
To: Krijgsman, Robert <robert_krijgsman@fws.gov>
Cc: Thoman, Sarah E <sarah_thoman@fws.gov>
Subject: Mexican wolf questions

Hi there Robert,

Sarah informed me that you had some questions about how Region 2 would handle the potential dispersal of Mexican wolves into Colorado. I'm hoping the below information will be helpful:

In the BO for the Mexican wolf recovery permit, Colorado and Utah were not included in the action area for the consultation as Mexican wolves are unlikely to disperse to Colorado and Utah. In the unlikely instance that Mexican wolves do disperse from the experimental population area into these states; however, before the capture of Mexican wolves is initiated, coordination with the appropriate ESFO folks in the state where operations are planned will occur.

Per the revised 10(j) rule: If Mexican wolves travel outside the experimental population area, we intend to capture and return them to the MWEPA or place them in captivity. Mexican wolves that move outside of the geographic boundaries of the experimental population area are fully endangered. [2022-14025.pdf \(govinfo.gov\)](#)

Thanks,

Stacey E. Stanford

Biologist

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Southwest Regional Office, Ecological Services
Environmental Review Branch
P.O. Box 1306
Albuquerque, NM 87103

